

Newham Road, Truro

Feasibility Review

Document Ref : EDG0206

CORMAC Consultancy
CORMAC Western Region,
Radnor Road, Scorrier, Redruth, Cornwall, TR16 5EH.



Issue & Revision Record						
Revision	Date	Originator	Checked	Authorised	Purpose of Issue	Nature of Change
0.1	08/06/2015	TJC/AJU	NSH	CWS	First Draft	Original
0.2	19/11/2015	TJC/AJU	NSH	CWS	Second Draft	Revised Draft
1.0	27/11/2015	TJC/AJU	NSH	CWS	Final	Additional content
1.1	24/02/2016	TJC/AJU	NSH	AJA	Final (Second Version)	Amended text



Prepared by
Engineering Design Group

If you would like this report in another format, please contact

CORMAC Solutions Ltd

Head Office
Higher Trenant Road
Wadebridge
Cornwall
PL27 6TW

Tel: 01872 323 313

Email: customerrelations@cormacltd.co.uk

www.cornwall.gov.uk/cormac

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Cormac Solutions Ltd being obtained. Cormac Solutions Ltd accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm his agreement to indemnify Cormac Solutions Ltd for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Cormac Solutions Ltd accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.

CORMAC Solutions Ltd

Executive Summary

Newham Road provides the sole means of road access to the important employment area of Newham. Beyond its junction with Gas Hill, however, it remains largely unimproved, with restricted visibility and with little or no provision for pedestrians. Although not borne out by the accident record, the road is demonstrably unsuitable for the mixture of traffic that uses it: pedestrians, cyclists and motor vehicles, including not-in-service buses and a high proportion of heavy goods vehicles.

Prior to this report, the council had considered and documented a total of 14 options for the improvement of a 250m-long section of Newham Road between Gas Hill and Lighterage Hill. Numbered 1 to 13 (plus an Option 0), these had not progressed beyond the feasibility stage – often because of their impact on the ecologically and historically significant assets on both sides of the road.

Three further options are now presented as set out below:

Option 14 (£2,663,000) features the realignment and widening of the carriageway to achieve a uniform width of 6.5m, plus the construction of a shared use path parallel to the road. Where necessary the path would be cantilevered over the mudflats. This option offers an attractive and safer waterfront path alongside an improved road.

In view of such an environmentally sensitive location, planning permission will be dependent on a full understanding of the impacts on ecology, landscape and the built environment, and how they can be mitigated. Throughout the design process, therefore, close working with Natural England and other statutory consultees will be essential.

Option 15 (£450,000) makes use of the existing Cornish Way as a route for pedestrians and cyclists. Newham Road itself would be widened and strengthened in places.

The Cornish Way would still be accessed from a steep incline, and despite improvements, it would be less appealing than the waterfront route along Newham Road.

Option 16 (£350,000) uses traffic signals to control traffic in single file through a section of Newham Road which would be reduced in width to make room for a footway.

As permitted development, the works could be carried out without planning permission. However, the scheme is unlikely to win the support of the Newham business community.

After consideration of these options, including consultation with members of the Newham BID committee and the council's Transport Portfolio Holder, Bert Biscoe, Option 14 remains the council's preferred solution.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

1. Introduction	1
2. Present Situation	2
2.1 Detailed Description of Area	2
2.2 Highway Data	2
2.3 Environmental Issues	6
2.4 Statutory Undertakers	7
2.5 Land and Boat Ownership and Use	11
2.6 Site Investigation	12
3. History of Options Considered	13
4. Current Proposal (Option 14)	15
4.1 Description	15
4.2 Land	16
4.3 Cost Estimate	16
5. Consultation	17
5.1 Natural England	17
5.2 Environment Agency	18
5.3 Newham Business Improvement Group (BID) Committee	18
5.4 Truro Harbour Master	19
5.5 Planning Officer	19
5.6 Maritime Marine Organisation	21
5.7 CORMAC's Network Manager	22
5.8 Local Residents	23
5.9 Councillors	23
6. Alternative Options	25
6.1 Option 15	25
6.2 Option 16	26

7. Conclusion and Recommendations	30
Appendix A: Scheme Drawings	31
Appendix B: Photographs	32
Appendix B: Site Investigation	37
Appendix D: Traffic and Pedestrian Count April 2015	41
Appendix E: Option 16 Traffic Modelling	42
Appendix F: Pre-Application Planning Advice	45
Appendix G: Original Concept Drawing by CSA Architects	46

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Newham Road is a two-way road, commencing at the Tesco roundabout and extending as far as the Truro sewage treatment works at the far end of the Newham Industrial Estate, about 1.5km to the south. Successive improvements during the 1980s and 1990s ended at the junction with Gas Hill, beyond which the road has remained largely unimproved ever since. The carriageway is narrow, forward visibility is restricted and there is no provision for pedestrians.
- 1.2 Improving Newham Road between Gas Hill and Lighterage Hill is considered to be an essential catalyst for the further development of the Newham area. This long term plan is being led by Newham Business Improvement District (BID), a committee representing around 100 local businesses.
- 1.3 Investigations into improvements to the road and footway at the end of Newham Road, Truro have been ongoing since the mid-1990s. However, to date they have not reached a satisfactory conclusion, with the most likely options encroaching onto the SSSI or being prohibitively expensive. There has also been little justification for funding for this scheme: the accident record does not justify a safety scheme, and no developments attracting 'Section 106' money or European match-funding have been forthcoming to date.
- 1.4 This feasibility review will:
 - Review the design work that has previously been undertaken;
 - Investigate three options to improve the road – one for a remote footway/cycleway away from the industrial traffic; one for a path constructed over the mud flats (based on a conceptual idea from CSA Architects in 2005); and one where the road is narrowed and controlled by traffic signals, in order to accommodate a footway alongside the carriageway.
 - Gain the approval in principle of key parties.
- 1.5 The main constraints of the area include the narrow road, the environmental constraints of the Truro River, which is a Special Area of Conservation, a Site of Special Scientific Interest and an Area of Great Scientific Value, the impact on the listed property, Little Newham, matters relating to land ownership and use of boats along the foreshore and the statutory service plant within in the road.

2. Present Situation

2.1 Detailed Description of Area

- 2.1.1 Nowadays Newham is a mixed use industrial area with numerous businesses that require vehicular access, including a First South West Bus Depot, the wastewater treatment works for Truro, a number of garages, Volunteer Cornwall, Macsalvors Plant Hire Ltd (near Lighterage Hill junction) and Cory Environmental to name just a few. In total there are more than 100 businesses employing over 1,000 people.
- 2.1.2 The industrial area is a strip of development about 250m wide backed by farmland to the West and adjacent to the protected Truro River to the East and Calenick Creek to the South.
- 2.1.3 Interspersed within the industrial district are a few private residential properties. Most of these pre-date the commercial development, including the Grade II-listed Little Newham, which is close to the proposed scheme.
- 2.1.4 Gas Hill is about 0.8km from the Tesco roundabout, and the section of road under consideration is about 250m long.

2.2 Highway Data

2.2.1 Traffic Flows

- 2.2.1.1 Traffic volumes on this No Through road are highest at its entrance and progressively reduce towards reducing as journey destinations are passed.
- 2.2.1.2 The Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) flow in 2014 ranges from 15,400 vehicles at the northern end, to only 690 vehicles beyond the Lighterage Hill junction. In between there are major trip generators such as the Tesco superstore, the Royal Mail sorting office, and the numerous commercial premises off Lighterage Hill.
- 2.2.1.3 The table below shows two nodes in particular beyond which traffic volumes show a marked decrease: the Tesco junction and the Lighterage Hill junction.

	AADT (2014)	HCVs	HCVs + MCVs
A39 Roundabout to Tesco	15,400	400	430
Tesco to Higher Newham Lane	5,900	360	490
Higher Newham Lane to Lighterage Hill	3,200	250	360
Lighterage Hill to end	690	70	80

2.2.1.4 Newham Road forms part of the Fire Brigade Strategic Route and the Cornwall Freight Network.

2.2.1.5 A traffic survey was carried out in April 2015. It recorded a peak of 200 vehicles between 7:00 and 8:00. Further results can be found in Appendix D.

2.2.2 Pedestrian and Cycle Flow

2.2.2.1 **Pedestrians:** In April 2015 a pedestrian count was carried out at two locations on Newham Road: the Gas Hill junction and the Lighterage Hill junction. At both locations, the survey counted the number of pedestrians walking along each arm of the junction over a 12-hour period, plus the number of pedestrians observed crossing each arm. The following table presents a summary, while a diagrammatical representation is included at Appendix D.

Location	Arm of junction	Observations	
		Walking along the road	Crossing the road
Gas Hill junction	Newham Rd (N)	57 + 70 = 127	8 + 8 = 16
	Newham Rd (S)	26 + 38 = 64	2 + 1 = 3
	Gas Hill	43 + 25 = 68	46 + 23 = 69
	Total Observations: 347		
Lighterage Hill junction	Newham Rd (N)	12 + 32 = 44	16 + 35 = 51
	Newham Rd (S)	60 + 87 = 147	60 + 87 = 144
	Lighterage Hill	117 + 86 = 203	22 + 50 = 72
	Lighterage Quay	22 + 27 = 49	Not recorded
	Total Observations: 710		

2.2.2.2 It should be noted that the data represents observations of individual pedestrian *movements*. For example, a single pedestrian walking along the road and then crossing it would be counted as two movements.

2.2.2.3 **Cyclists:** The traffic survey in April 2015 included the following pedal cycle movements at the Gas Hill junction and Lighterage Hill junctions:

Location	Arm of Junction	Two-way Flow
Gas Hill Junction	Newham Road (N)	56
	Newham Road (S)	47
	Gas Hill	9
Lighterage Hill junction	Newham Road (N)	34
	Lighterage Quay	2
	Newham Road (S)	4
	Lighterage Hill	32

2.2.2.4 For the section of Newham Road between these two locations, 47 cyclists were observed from the Gas Hill junction while 34 cyclists were observed from the Lighterage Hill junction. The slight difference in numbers may be attributed to cyclists whose journey starts or ends in between the two junctions, such as at one of the properties along Newham Road.

2.2.2.5 **The Cornish Way:** The disused railway line at Newham now forms part of the Cornish Way network of cycle routes. Commencing a short distance up Gas Hill, it runs along higher ground behind the waterfront properties, parallel to Newham Road, crossing Lighterage Hill and continuing south past the sewage treatment works before heading west to Calenick.

2.2.2.6 The Cornish Way is accessed via a short but steep ascent up Gas Hill, which is difficult for mobility-impaired pedestrians. From there to Lighterage Hill, the path is overgrown and unlit, making it appear dark and narrow in places and creating an unsafe impression for its users. For some pedestrians, therefore, Newham Road – with its flat gradient and riverside vista – offers a more attractive choice of route. Plus it is the only choice of route for pedestrians wishing to reach the half dozen or so roadside properties which the Cornish Way lies behind.

2.2.2.7 During a recent survey, two Newham businesses referred to the existing road and lack of cycle path along it as being a deterrent to cycling to work.

2.2.3 **Accident Data**

2.2.3.1 There have been no reported personal injury accidents on this stretch of road within the last 3 years.

2.2.4 **Cornwall Freight Network**

2.2.4.1 The Strategic Freight Network includes major roads, ports and railways which transport freight around the county. The Local Freight Network covers movements between the Strategic Freight Network and significant "Lorry Movement Generators", such as industrial estates. Newham Road, therefore, forms part of the Local Freight Network.

2.2.5 **Highway Alignment**

2.2.5.1 Following improvements in the 1980s and 1990s, the alignment of Newham Road is of a relatively high standard as far as its junction with Gas Hill. It benefits from a wide carriageway, a shared surface path on the east side, and good forward visibility. Beyond that junction, however, the road remains largely unimproved. Its width reduces to a minimum of 5.5m, visibility is restricted and there is no provision for pedestrians.

2.2.5.2 A notable pinch point occurs at Little Newham, where the narrow road curves around the frontage of the property, with no allowance on the inside of the bend for swept paths. Consequently HGVs struggle to pass each other at this point, and pedestrians sometimes have to make sudden avoidance measures to get out of the path.

2.2.5.3 The vertical profile of Newham Road is more or less flat, as it closely follows the foreshore of the river. Its height rarely rises above 6m AOD along its entire length.

2.2.5.4 A verbal report was received in November 2013 concerning road movement under the passage of abnormal loads. This was reported to the Highways maintenance team who said that the last records showed that it was treated in 2006 with 14mm HRA, but that there was no knowledge of movement at this location. Any future ground investigation will need to include reporting on the condition of the road and its foundation.

2.3 Environmental Issues

2.3.1 Land Designations and Planning Restrictions

2.3.1.1 A desk study of the area has identified the following classifications:

- The Truro River forms part of the Fal and Helford Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and the Fal Estuary Complex Area of Great Scientific Value.
- Newham is adjacent to the Malpas Estuary Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and the mudflats form part of the Biodiversity Action Plan. The intertidal mudflats and the aggregations of non-breeding birds – the black-tailed godwits – a notified 'feature' of the SSSI, are of particular importance.
- Truro River is a Main River, with Flood Zone 2, 3 and 3b category. The road is in part of the tidal floodplain.
- The river comes under the Falmouth and Truro Port and Harbour Authority.
- The property 'Little Newham' is a Grade II-listed building.
- This particular area of Newham is designated as a Mineral Consultation Area – Newham Heritage Quarry.
- There are two Tree Preservation Orders in this area:
 - A prominent macrocarpa (conifer) tree within the grounds of Riverside Cottage.
 - A sycamore tree on the highway/property boundary of Riverside Cottage.

2.3.2 Previous Reports by Environmental Consultants

2.3.2.1 Two reports were commissioned in 2000, when a road widening scheme was being considered. The first one, by Spalding Associates (Environmental) Limited, was called "Assessment of the Ecology of an Area of Littoral Mudflat at Newham, Truro". The report raised concerns about the potential loss of mudflat:

- The loss of the biotope (or habitat) classified as LMU.HedScr.
- Intertidal habitat
- The degradation of adjacent littoral habitat, (i.e. that part of the river which is close to the shore);

- The loss of a small but significant area of saltmarsh (part of the Atlantic Salt Meadows); and
- The loss of mixed sediment at the intertidal fringe, which forms the buffer zone by the road.

2.3.2.2 It was also noted, though, that there is pollution in the form of disturbed sediments, rubbish and paint (possibly from boat maintenance).

2.3.2.3 The second report produced in 2000 was called "Assessment of wader activity on the tidal flats at Newham, Truro". Although there was little bird activity in the specific area of Newham, the report noted that the wider river area is used for feeding and roosting by waterfowl and wading birds that are of national importance. Of particular significance is the black-tailed godwit, which is on the RSPB Red list. There are other birds on the Amber list and on the Cornish Red Data Book of Species.

2.4 Statutory Undertakers

2.4.1 Information about the statutory and non-statutory undertakers is shown on the following pages.

Statutory and Non-statutory Undertakers

Consultee	Comments
<p>Western Power Distribution (January 2015 data from C3 request).</p>	<p>Underground 11kV High Voltage power cable in ducts at the northern end in the road next to the footpath.</p> <p>Underground 11KV High Voltage power cable along Newham Road generally, not ducted, in sand at the edge of the road on the landward side. Possible power supply to streetlight on landward side south of Gas Hill junction.</p> <p>Low voltage (blue) in footpath at Northern end but may be outside the extents of the scheme. If it will be affected, WPD need to be contacted.</p> <p>Low voltage ASSUMED ROUTE in the verge opposite Gas Hill. The plant in this area has not been identified fully and TRIAL PITS would be required to find out what is in the verge, and the extent of the cables along the verge and any street light connections.</p> <p>Possible power supply to streetlight on seaward side opposite the Gas Hill junction, near the unknown supply.</p> <p>LV overhead cables on stayed poles from Riverside Cottage to Lighterage Hill.</p> <p>Underground 11KV High Voltage power cable across Lighterage Hill junction.</p> <p>Chambers in the road and in the footpath near and in Lighterage Hill junction with 11KV High Voltage power. One of the chambers in the footpath has a LIVE END 11KV cable.</p> <p>When trial pits are carried out Western Power Distribution will need to be present. This will allow them to provide quotes and lead in times.</p>

<p>Wales and West Utilities (October 2013 C2 Data and 2015 C3 estimate)</p>	<p>MEDIUM pressure gas pipe Extreme caution major accident hazard pipeline.</p> <p>Runs along the northern side of Lighterage Hill, across the junction to the seaward side of Newham Road and runs along the road to the other side of Gas Hill, then it crosses to the landward side of Newham Road.</p> <p>Cost of the diversion is £11,007 plus any unforeseen costs. (2015 estimate).</p> <p><u>Total = £11,007 including VAT + cost for contractor to excavate and support the trench.</u> <u>The lead-in time would be 100 days</u></p> <p>No mechanical excavation within 3m of the main.</p>
<p>South West Water (October 2013 Data)</p>	<p>There is a distribution main on either side of Newham Road and both a Public Foul Sewer (225mm diameter clay pipe) and a Public Combined Sewer (600mm diameter precast concrete pipe) in Newham Road.</p> <p>There is a Pumping Main Sewer (500mm diameter Ductile Iron pipe) along the Cornish Way.</p>
<p>Openreach (BT) (October 2013 data and 2015 C3 estimate)</p>	<p>There are underground cables on both sides of Lighterage Hill and across the junction and there is a junction box on Newham Road north of the junction.</p> <p>There is an underground cable on the seaward side of Newham Road up to Riverside, more road crossings and two underground cables with junction boxes up Newham Road.</p> <p>There is a junction box and underground cable running up Lighterage Quay.</p> <p>Contractors must contact Dial Before You Dig before planning any work here. Don't excavate within 600mm of their plant.</p>

	<p>The initial estimate for Openreach work is £81,895.33 + VAT@20% = £98,275 Plus £2,031.30 + VAT@20% = £2,438 for Openreach design.</p> <p><u>Total = £100,713</u> <u>The lead-in time would be 4 months</u> <u>The construction time would be a further 4 months</u></p>
Cornwall Council Gullies. (October 2013 data).	Gullies along Lighterage Hill and at the junction.
Vodafone Limited electronic communications network (formerly part of electronic communications networks of Cable & Wireless UK, Energis Communications Limited, Thus Group Holdings Plc and Your Communications Limited). (October 2013 data).	No apparatus.
Verizon (previously MCI WorldCom). (October 2013 data).	No apparatus
May Gurney for Orange. (October 2013 data).	No apparatus

2.5 Land and Boat Ownership and Use

2.5.1 Ownership of the Foreshore

2.5.1.1 The Land Registry showed no registered owners of the foreshore. The Harbour Master has confirmed that it is not owned by the Port of Truro. However, solicitors acting for the Trustees of Lord Vivian's Estate claim that the land is in the ownership of the Estate. Originally bought by the Trustees from the Duchy in 1859, the foreshore was evidently still in their ownership in 1949. Since then, various parcels of land have been sold off, yet the foreshore has not been included in any of those.

2.5.1.2 Whilst the evidence presented by the Trustees of Lord Vivian's Estate appears plausible, the Council would nevertheless require the owners to "deduce title" formally when the time comes to acquiring the land. If that proves to be impossible, the council has the option to use powers under Section 228 of the Highways Act 1980. These allow the council, as Highway Authority, to carry out highway improvement works on land whose ownership is unknown. The road or path becomes publicly maintained highway one month after completion, unless objection is received from the genuine landowner. Until that point, therefore, the work is carried out at risk, although that risk can be minimised if diligent efforts beforehand have been made to trace the landowner.

2.5.2 Privately Owned Slipway

2.5.2.1 A small slipway exists directly opposite Riverside Cottage. The owners of Riverside Cottage have claimed that it forms part of their property. However, it did not show up on the Land Registry search. If access to the slipway is not going to be affected by the improvement scheme, then ownership is not important. Otherwise, this is an issue that would require further investigation.

2.5.3 Ownership of the Verge

2.5.3.1 The verge adjacent to the carriageway – including an area of ground which was formerly a lay-by – has

been confirmed by the Regional Engineer as forming part of the highway.

2.5.4 Boat Ownership

2.5.4.1 In its role as Port Authority for the Port of Truro, Cornwall Council grants licences for boat owners to moor their boats along Truro River. The section of foreshore alongside Newham Road, however, lies outside its jurisdiction. Consequently, boats that are moored there are acknowledged by the authority but not licenced. Consent to moor there would normally be by private agreement with the landowner. However, with ownership unproven, it is probable that no such arrangement exists.

2.5.4.2 If there are no formal agreements currently in place for boats to moor along the foreshore, legal advice would be needed to determine whether or not any mooring rights have accrued over time. If such rights have accrued, it is possible that boat owners are eligible for compensation (or entitled to an alternative mooring location).

2.6 Site Investigation

2.6.1 There have been numerous boreholes and trial pits in the Newham area over the years but no works are recorded within the footprint of the Little Newham Site. Previous investigations are recorded in the vicinity of the site and the findings are summarised at Appendix C.

3 History of Options Considered

- 3.1 There is a large amount of information from previous work carried out in trying to find an acceptable solution and the detailed information is available in other reports. Some of the earlier options also included the section between Higher Newham Lane and Gas Hill, which was subsequently constructed.
- 3.2 The outcome of all of the 14 options at the time was that the scheme did not give value for money and should not be pursued. In 2006, the council's Engineering Design Group (now part of CORMAC Solutions Ltd) suggested that if the scheme were to not go ahead, a 1.5m footway should at least be constructed in the short term in front of Little Newham. At an estimated cost of £25,000 at the time, this would improve visibility as well as pedestrian safety. However, it would make the road even narrower.
- 3.3 In 2011/12 investigations were made into whether a Household Waste Recycling Centre could be located in the Cory Depot in Newham off Lighterage Hill. Improving the road would have been a crucial part of any planning permission, and this was included in the analysis. Eventually, the proposed site at the Truro Eastern District Centre site (TEDC) became the preferred location, with access difficulties at Newham being a key factor in that decision.
- 3.4 A summary of previous options 0-13 is shown on the following pages.

Option	Description
1	Original alignment 1:1.5 V:H embankment Drawing R1184/D/R5
2	Original alignment 1:0.58 V:H embankment Drawing R1184/D/R6
3	Original alignment vertical face to embankment Drawing R1184/D/R7
4	Alignment amended to move inland outside Skinner's Brewery vertical face to embankment Drawing R1184/D/R8
5	Alignment amended to move inland at Abrahams garage Vertical face to embankment Drawing R1184/D/R9
6	Alignment further amended to move inland at Little Newham vertical face to embankment Drawing R1184/D/R10

Option	Description	Comments	Cost
7	Traffic Signal Control – drawing R1184/P/101	No impact on foreshore but not feasible because of private and commercial accesses between the signals.	Not costed
8	One- way system– drawing R1184/P/102	No impact on foreshore but steep substandard gradients, poor left turn from Gas Hill, loss of the Cornish Way, possible stats problems, loss of car park, traffic noise.	£919,000
9	Link Road – drawing R1184/P/103	No impact on foreshore but steep substandard gradients, planning permission needed for road over farmland and new junction with A39/A390, expensive.	£2M
10	Cantilever road – drawing R1184/P/104	Acceptable layout but full road closure needed to build cantilever – no diversion possible. Extensive service diversions.	£1.09M
0	Infilling the foreshore by about 7m - drawing 11	Approved by the council but unacceptable to Natural England	£1.33M

Option	Description	Comments	Cost	
Option 11	Drawing R1184/D/R22. 'Designed' using Autotrack to allow two HGVs to pass.	Allows 1.5m of footway on the landward side. Takes small area of foreshore and needs three lengths of retaining wall, in total a length of about 90m of vertical retaining wall over the foreshore. Requires 1.5m strip from Little Newham (listed building consent needed).	£673,000 2010 (including optimum bias of 25%) A later estimate of £780,000 did not include an anticipated 25% increase in rates for tidal working as suggested by the Council's team who are managing the Hayle Harbour construction works	Options 11-13 were developed only using AutoTrack and on the following assumptions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20mph speed limit • 6m wide road • 1.5m footpath on landward side • 0.5m hard verge and 0.5m wall on seaward side • That HGVs could pass with 0.5m space between them. • Construction of vertical retaining walls along the shore as required (although Natural England's preference is for sloping banks).
Option 12	As above but the road has been moved away from Little Newham. Drawing R1184/D/R23.	Allows 1.5m footway on the landward side. No landtake from Little Newham. Needs about 140m length of vertical retaining wall over the foreshore, very little/no land from Little Newham hedge and needs retaining wall by estuary.	£646,000 (date???) (did not include an anticipated 25% increase in rates for tidal working. This anticipated increase has been recently verified by the Council's team who are managing the Hayle Harbour construction works)	
Option 13	Drawing R1184/D/R25. As above with road moved toward Little Newham.	Allows 1.5m footway on the landward side. Requires 3 lengths of retaining wall by the estuary and half of the garden of Little Newham.	Loosely £400,000 est 2006 But possibly similar to option 11 – higher land cost but less ret wall A later estimate of £780,000 did not include an anticipated 25% increase in rates for tidal working as suggested by the Council's team who are managing the Hayle Harbour construction works	

4 Current Proposal (Option 14)

4.1 Description

- 4.1.1 This option includes minor road re-alignment, with a new surfaced pathway on the existing verge. Where the verge is not wide enough, the path would be supported above the adjacent mudflat. Improving the whole road and providing a path would improve safety and would meet one of the key objectives of the Newham BID committee.
- 4.1.2 The scheme is shown on Drawing EDG0206/F/014/001. The draft design was based on the previous Option 11 and the conceptual drawing for a path in the river, drawn by CSA Architects on behalf of the then NIP Group (now the Newham BID committee). Their concept was for a structural walkway supported on piles in the riverbed, following the line of the shore and using the verge to create a wider path where possible. The CSA drawing is included at Appendix G.
- 4.1.3 Following initial discussions with Natural England, the design was amended to avoid any loss of foreshore. Rather than being driven into the riverbed, the piles would be driven into the verge between the road and the river. Attached to the piles would be cantilevered beams, which would support the walkway.
- 4.1.4 The existing shared use path along Newham Road terminates opposite the Gas Hill junction. The scheme would provide a 300m continuation of that path as far as Lighterage Quay. To accommodate both cyclists and pedestrians, therefore, the desirable minimum width would be 3m wide. If necessary, however, a reduction to an absolute minimum width of 2.5m would be acceptable.
- 4.1.6 Where the path is to be cantilevered above the ground, it would need to let light through and not cast a permanent shadow on the mudflats below. Galvanised steel mesh is one option which would permit some light through, but it may pose difficulty for some pedestrians. Subject to further negotiations with Natural England, therefore, an acceptable solution might be achieved by covering an agreed width of the mesh with a suitable non-slip surface.
- 4.1.7 A parapet would be necessary to prevent persons from falling over the edge. In the light of the planning officer's concerns about its potential effect on the landscape (see Section 5.5 and Appendix F), the design of the parapet would require careful consideration.

4.1.8 The carriageway would be widened to a width sufficient for two HGVs to pass each other comfortably. As a starter, an initial width of 6.5m (2 x 3.25m lane widths) has been chosen. Using swept path analysis, the carriageway width can later be fine-tuned to ensure that it is adequate around bends without being excessively wide on straighter sections.

4.2 Land

4.2.1 It is anticipated that a narrow strip of land, about 1.5m wide, will need to be acquired from the listed property, Little Newham. The proposal is to replace the existing hedge with a more slender boundary feature, such as an acoustic fence, thereby avoiding any encroachment into the actual garden. The impact on the listed property, therefore, is minimised.

4.2.2 At the time of writing, it is understood that the current owners of Little Newham are broadly supportive of the proposal. Not only does the realigned carriageway improve visibility when using their access, but the current uncertainty surrounding the scheme will be removed, and the owners will be better able to move forward with their own future plans for the property.

4.2.3 Construction could possibly be carried out using a rig mounted on a barge, or alternatively from the foreshore using some form of protective matting. Either method would require advice from specialist contractors and would be subject to obtaining the necessary consents.

4.2 Cost Estimate

4.2.1 The estimated cost of Option 14 is as shown below. The elements making up the total are budget estimates only at this stage. Included is a total risk allowance of 40%, in view of the uncertainties associated with work of this nature.

Works	£1,872K
Utility diversions	£156K
Land (including fees)	£56K
Design fees, surveys and consents	£578K
Total cost	£2,663K

5 Consultation

5.1 Natural England

- 5.1.1 In late 2012 officers from CORMAC met with Natural England, who reported that the intertidal mudflats and the aggregations of non-breeding birds – the black-tailed godwits – are notified ‘features’ of the SSSI and are of particular importance.
- 5.1.2 Natural England would be opposed to works which resulted in any net reduction in the area of intertidal mudflat, such as the proposal to use piles (or ‘stilts’) in the mudflat to support the walkway. Only if mitigation could be provided, for example by providing additional mudflat habitat nearby, could they remove their objection to the proposal.
- 5.1.3 On the other hand, they were broadly supportive of the alternative proposal to construct a cantilevered structure over the mudflat. They requested a meshed walkway, with the mesh orientated in such a way as to maximise the amount of light that can pass through to the mudflat beneath. This would be of particular importance in the winter months when daylight is at a premium.
- 5.1.4 Natural England also explained that in order to minimise disturbance to black-tailed godwits, construction should take place during the spring and summer, when these birds are not in the area. Fortunately, that is also the time of year when the most pedestrians and cyclists can be expected to use the completed walkway.
- 5.1.5 Any work would require a Habitat Regulations Assessment, which would determine the likely effect of any work in the SAC. Being within the SSSI, any work would also require Assent from Natural England – even if it is of a temporary nature during construction.
- 5.1.6 Since 2012 it has been made clear that the works are not sited within or near to a Marine Conservation Zone. Natural England confirmed that the site is located within the Fal and Helford Special Area of Conservation (SAC), which has been designated for a suite of flora and fauna including the following Annex 1 features:
- Saltmarsh (Atlantic salt meadows)
 - Large shallow inlets and bays
 - Estuaries
 - Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time

- Mudflats and sandflats nor covered by sea water at low tide
- Reefs

5.1.6 Based on Option 14 (using three sections supported by cantilever), Natural England do not believe that the works would have a likely significant effect on the interest features of the SAC. In principle they would not object to the works, provided the following conditions are adhered to:

- Any temporary structures used must be removed at the end of the works, to return the site, and habitats within it, to the condition it was in prior to the commencement of works;
- The Environment Agency Pollution Prevention Guidelines should be adhered to at all times, particularly for works or maintenance in or near watercourses (PPG5)
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/works-in-near-or-over-watercourses-ppg5-preventpollution>
- Contamination of the marine environment by the works is to be avoided;
- No materials should be stored on the intertidal areas to avoid contamination of the marine environment from the works;
- Mobilisation of sediment is to be kept to a minimum to reduce the potential of contaminated sediment entering the water column.

5.2 Environment Agency

5.2.1 CORMAC's Structures Group contacted the Biodiversity Officer of the Environment Agency in 2012. The EA's support for the scheme was conditional on the design maximising the amount of direct sunlight falling on the mudflats.

5.3 Newham Business Improvement District (BID) Committee

5.3.1 Cornwall Council has been progressing this feasibility work at the request of the Newham BID committee, which currently has about 20 members, including one Cornwall councillor. The BID committee has three main priorities:

1. to promote access and attractiveness of the area,
2. to ensure safety and security, and
3. to promote the needs of the businesses.

5.3.2 The committee has developed a brand for the area, which is "Newham & The Port of Truro, Truro's Business Quarter". It considers the road improvement to form part of a wider project to improve and bring economic benefit to the whole Newham area. In particular, the BID committee would like the sharp bend outside the property Little Newham to be improved: as already reported visibility is restricted, it is difficult for heavy

goods vehicles to pass and it is hazardous for pedestrians using the road.

- 5.3.3 The group is working on better signing for the area, improved road access and maintenance, maintenance and cleanliness of the area, car parking and public transport, CCTV, homelessness in the area, assisting with business needs and helping with marketing.
- 5.3.4 It would also like the Cornish Way cycle path between the Gas Hill car park and Lighterage Hill to be improved to make the path safer and more accessible for all non-motorised users at all times of the year.

5.4 Truro Harbour Master

- 5.4.1 The Truro Harbour Master was consulted on Option 14 and made the following points:
- The site lies within the Port of Truro and there are no significant slipways (note: there is one small privately owned slipway opposite Riverside house).
 - The cantilever path seems acceptable in general, subject to the necessary approvals from the Marine Management Organisation and Natural England for work in the SSSI.
 - The Harbour Master and a member of the legal team of Cornwall Council discussed the proposed cantilever path option and consider that a Harbour Revision Order would probably not be needed. (This will need to be confirmed if the scheme is developed further).
 - Timber piles would not be appropriate as they would be eaten rapidly by marine boring insects.
 - The moorings adjacent to the piles could be used for a few mud berths but not for regular use. There is not much of a tidal window – only Spring tides.
 - The Port of Truro does not own the mudflats and does not manage any of the moorings beside the river.

5.5 Planning Officer

- 5.5.1 Pre-application planning advice has been obtained from the Council's planning officers. The following paragraphs are a summary, and the full responses are included at Appendix F:
- 5.5.2 **Heritage Asset Area Context:** Little Newham, which has 16th century origins, is a distinctive Grade II listed building which represents an important historic residential survival in a heavily commercialised area: a vestige of a previously isolated location overlooking the river. Great weight should be given to the

asset's conservation, and the council has a statutory duty in this regard. Any harm or loss should require clear and convincing justification, and be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal.

- 5.5.3 Setting back the frontage boundary treatment of the property, together with the complete removal of the grassed verge and verdant planting opposite the historic site, would result in a significant and substantial degree of harm to the setting of the heritage asset. The NPFF stresses that local planning authorities should refuse consent unless there are substantial public benefits that outweigh the harm or loss. A detailed heritage asset impact assessment should therefore be undertaken, including a review of all options considered and reasons why options that are visually less intrusive and erosive are not preferred.
- 5.5.4 **Design and form:** The semi-rural character of this section of Newham Road dominates over the built forms when seen from Newham Road itself and from the opposite side of the river. Even the sleek, minimalist design of the cantilevered footways, with their hard edged safety rails and balustrading would seriously erode the landscape character of the locality. Developments that fail to improve the character and quality of the area should be refused. Therefore, the advice in the 2013 Cornwall Design Guide should be followed. The height and continuity of existing walling, which contributes to the character of the street scene, should not be substantially altered.
- 5.5.5 **Ecology and Biodiversity Impact:** Minimising the adverse effects on the important areas of national and international conservation status must be at the forefront of the scheme development. A detailed ecological survey and assessment is necessary to identify the range of mitigation measures that would need to be integrated in respect of loss and disturbance of habitat. A Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) would also need to be provided.
- 5.5.6 **Landscape and trees impact:** The loss of any trees (protected or otherwise) would have a significant and detrimental impact on the riparian scene. All existing trees should be considered and should inform the design; a full appraisal of the tree issues should be submitted with the final application.
- 5.5.7 **Residential amenity issues:** Any development should seek to secure a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings. Loss of privacy should be avoided.

- 5.5.8 **Flood risk and drainage:** With Newham Road lying in Flood Zones 2 and 3, any proposed road improvement needs to be accompanied by a detailed Flood Risk Assessment. Surface water drainage would need careful handling, both during construction and thereafter.
- 5.5.9 **Contaminated land:** Owing to the proximity of the gas storage site, a Phase 1 Site Investigation should be undertaken.
- 5.5.10 **Summary:** At this stage, it is not considered that the public benefits in terms of improving highway safety and facilitating potential future commercial development would outweigh the substantial level of harm that would result for the heritage, landscape character and biodiversity interests in this sensitive highly designated context. Other, potentially less intrusive options for improving the highway should be assessed and fully considered as viable alternatives to achieve the basic aims of the scheme whilst safeguarding the recognised issues of importance.
- 5.5.11 **Permitted Development:** To date, the presumption has been that the council would need to obtain planning consent for the scheme. Whilst the council, as highway authority, has certain permitted development (PD) rights to widen the highway and build structures alongside it, they are not absolute rights. They are suspended when other legislation is affected, such as when there is potential for harm to historical or environmental assets which are protected by statute. Under such circumstances, planning permission is almost certain to be required.
- 5.5.12 Where there is a potential environmental impact, especially at sensitive sites such as a SSSI, a Screening Opinion will be needed. A Screening Opinion is the planning authority's assessment (often after consulting statutory bodies such as Natural England) of whether an Environmental Statement needs to be submitted with the planning application.

5.6 Marine Management Organisation

- 5.6.1 Marine Management Organisation's (MMO's) Case Officer, Joanna Parnell, was consulted regarding the construction (including piling) of the cantilevered cycleway over the mudflats. Her response was as follows:
- 5.6.2 *"The marine licensing system under the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 has been in force since 6 April 2011. We are responsible for most marine licensing in English inshore and offshore waters and for Welsh and Northern Ireland offshore waters. In addition to marine licensing requirements some*

activities may also require consents issued by other regulatory authorities such as the Environment Agency, Natural England and local planning authorities and therefore we would advise you to engage with them also as early as possible.

- 5.6.3 *"A marine licence is only required for activities involving a deposit or removal of a substance or object in the UK marine area, as defined in section 42 of the act. Broadly, this is the area below the mean high water springs mark and in any tidal river to the extent of the tidal influence. Section 66 of the act lists the types of activity that are licensable.*
- 5.6.4 *"If any of these works are to be carried out below the MHWS, even temporary, a Marine Licence will be required. Please submit a full enquiry or an application for a marine licence through our online case management system:
<http://www.marinemangement.org.uk/licensing/marine/apply.htm>.*
- 5.6.5 *"Once the application has been fully submitted, it will be allocated to a case officer who will update you further. The MMO aims to make a decision on most licences within 13 weeks of application, but each application is different and the detail of the activity will affect this. MMO supports those applying for a marine licence to make sure that each application is given full and fair consideration.*
- 5.6.6 *"Fees are also determined by the type of project. Please see the following link for more information:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/marine-licensing-fees/marine-licensing-fees>."*

5.7 CORMAC's Network Manager

- 5.7.1 CORMAC's Network Manager, Peter Tatlow, had concerns regarding the proposed metal see-through mesh surfacing (proposed to allow light through to the mudflats below). He explained that many people are afraid to walk on anything that they can see through. Also, he thought that it may not be a good idea for cyclists as it could be uncomfortable and the surface could become slippery. A road safety audit and Non-motorised User Audit would be required.
- 5.7.2 Two sustainable transport experts were contacted – from TRAC and Sustrans – regarding the possibility of using mesh surfacing on the footway. There does not seem to be a precedent for this type of walkway surfacing except in industrial platforms, for

example in factories. In places where mesh walkway has been provided, it has been overlaid with durable, non-slip surfacing.

5.8 Local Residents

5.8.1 No recent contact has been made with the current owners of Little Newham. However, as noted in section 4.1.11, it is understood that they are broadly supportive of Option 14.


5.9 Councillors

5.9.11 On 28th September 2015, the Transport Portfolio Holder, Bert Biscoe, attended a meeting with Vicky Fraser and Paul King from Cornwall Council, Alison Elvey and Nathaniel Hobbs from Newham BID, and Alistair Uglow from CORMAC's Engineering Design Group. Councillor Biscoe fully supports the scheme. Confident that the concerns raised by the planning officer can be overcome, he explained that the scheme would provide a statement of modernity and functionality, adding that the Port of Truro needs optimism.

5.9.2 Councillor Biscoe suggested planning permission could be more likely by highlighting the flood management benefits of the scheme. By raising the road it would increase protection to Little Newham. However, after an initial consideration, the following issues would need to be explored:

- Any raising of the road to protect properties behind would need to be of sufficient length to prevent possible water ingress around the sides. Lighterage Hill and Gas Hill – both of which rise steeply from Newham Road – would appear to be the most convenient start and end locations for such a scheme.
- Preventing water from flooding one area of land could have consequences elsewhere that may cause even more damage and disruption. A thorough assessment of the whole area would need to be carried out.
- Whilst sections of Newham Road itself lie within Flood Zone 2, Little Newham lies outside (see map below). The probability of this property experiencing annual river flooding, therefore, is considered to be low (i.e. less than 0.1%). In addition, the existing tidal gate at Lighterage Quay can be closed during extremely high tides, and the flood storage dams on the tributary rivers Allen and Kenwyn protect Truro from flooding from upstream.

5.9.3 Given Little Newham's relatively low risk of flooding and the existing mitigation measures already in place, therefore, raising the road would appear to add little value to the scheme.



Map showing areas of Newham within Flood Zone 2 (shaded yellow)

6 Alternative Options

6.1 Option 15

6.1.1 The second option presented in this report is for the refurbishment of the existing Cornish Way behind the frontage properties, together with minor strip widening of Newham Road to create a 6.5m wide road. This would include structural strengthening work to the edges of the road along the narrowest sections. (See Drawings EDG0206/F/015/001 and 002.)

6.1.2 This option would improve the attractiveness of the Cornish Way as a means of avoiding walking in the carriageway. It would also create a tidier waterfront for the road and would create a carriageway wide enough for HGVs to pass.

6.1.3 Factors to consider include:

- Some consents or assents may be required for the edge of road strengthening (piling), although there should be no construction on the actual foreshore itself.
- If it is not possible to widen the carriageway so close to the foreshore, it may be necessary to compromise the road width.
- Statutory services – there are numerous services in the edge of the road which would need to be taken into consideration. Some may require diversion.
- Despite the upgrading of the Cornish Way, a large proportion of non-motorised users would be likely to continue to use Newham Road because of its convenience and its river views.
- Boat owners would not be affected.

6.1.4 The estimated cost of Option 15 is as shown below. The elements making up the total are budget estimates only at this stage.

Works	£292K
Utility diversions	£50K
Land (including fees)	£50K
Design fees	£58K
Total cost	£450K

6.2 Option 16

6.2.1 General Description

- 6.2.1.1 Another option is to make room for a footway principally within the existing highway limits by reducing the carriageway to single track width and controlling it with traffic signals (as shown on Drawing EDG0206/F/16/001. Where land does need to be acquired, the only designations affected are TPOs.
- 6.2.1.2 As noted in Section 3, signals were considered previously (under Option 7). However, they were ruled out owing to difficulties with private accesses. Option 16 revisits the design and overcomes the difficulties by reducing the distance between the signals. The two private accesses between the two Stop lines would then have sight of a secondary signal head, which provides an indication of which direction of travel has right of way. All other private accesses lie outside the signalised section.
- 6.2.1.3 Between the signal heads the existing carriageway is narrowed to single track width, providing room for a footway without impacting on the foreshore or the listed building. To minimise land take, the footway would terminate on one side of the road and continue on the other. Visibility for pedestrians crossing the road at this point would meet minimum standards. Outside the signal heads the footway is continued in each direction to link up with existing paths.

6.2.2 Land Required

- 6.2.2.1 Up to four small parcels of land are required to enable the construction of the proposed footways without compromising on the carriageway width. These are shown as red on the drawing. One area belongs to Riverside Cottage, where a narrow strip of ground would need to be excavated to set back the highway boundary, and a short retaining wall constructed to support the ground behind. An alternative design has been prepared which avoids this parcel of land (see Section 6.2.4 below).
- 6.2.2.2 Two existing Tree Preservation Orders would be affected by Option 16.

6.2.3 Planning

- 6.2.3.1 The planning officer's opinion was that the works described by this option comply with the highway

authority's Permitted Development Rights. The officer did recommend, however, that discussions be held with Natural England and the Environment Agency.

6.2.4 Local residents

- 6.2.4.1 In July 2015 the owners of Riverside Cottage were approached for their initial views on Option 16. They were unwilling to support the scheme because one set of traffic signals would be situated right outside their property. From their garden they would experience the noise and fumes of stationary and accelerating vehicles (especially HGVs).
- 6.2.4.2 They enquired whether the traffic signals could be moved further away from their property. As a result, the variant to Option 16 was developed.

6.2.5 Variant of Option 16

- 6.2.5.1 After a meeting with the owners of Riverside Cottage (see Section 6.2.3), a variant of Option 16 was developed which removes the direct impact on their property. The signals which would be immediately outside their property are moved about 25m further south. The narrow section of road alongside Riverside would now lie between the signals, and would not therefore, need to be widened.
- 6.2.5.2 Motorists exiting from the Riverside access would no longer be able to see the secondary signal head. To ensure safe exit, therefore, they would need their own signal stage. The green phase could perhaps be triggered by induction loops cut into the new footway, that would detect a waiting vehicle.

6.2.6 Variant of Option 16

- 6.2.6.1 With regard to the possible positioning of traffic signals under Option 16, Councillor Biscoe and Mr Hobbs described the day-to-day operations at the Fresh From Cornwall premises at the bottom of Lighterage Hill. Delivery lorries have to park on Newham Road, from where goods are then forklifted across the junction between the lorry and the building. Concern was expressed that if traffic queued from the signals as far as Lighterage Hill at the same time as these lorry operations, the eventual result would be that the junction would become blocked in all directions.

6.2.6.2 The traffic modelling exercise, described in Section 6.2.5 and Appendix E, has since demonstrated that peak time queuing at the signals is unlikely to exceed 6 vehicles (equating to only 33m in length).

6.2.6.3 The Newham BID made some preliminary enquiries among some of the local businesses. Overwhelmingly the response was negative, the reason being the perceived delays caused by the signals.

6.2.7 Traffic Modelling

6.2.7.1 In terms of traffic modelling, the Option 16 variant was tested because the signals are (a) further apart and (b) closer to the Lighterage Hill junction.

6.2.7.2 Under current traffic conditions, the maximum queue length that accrued at the signals was 6 vehicles (or 33m in length). Given that the Lighterage Hill junction is 80m away from the signals, it would not be affected by the signals. There is ample capacity for an increase in traffic arising from further development in Newham.

6.2.7.3 The signals would add an average of between 12 and 16 seconds to inbound and outbound journeys. This is considered tolerable. Should future developments create further traffic at peak times, the average delay would increase but would still be within acceptable limits.

6.2.7.4 Full details of the traffic modelling are included at Appendix E.

6.2.8 Cost Estimate

6.2.8.1 The estimated cost of Option 16 is as shown below. The elements making up the total are budget estimates only at this stage.

Works	£250K
Utility diversions	£25K
Land (including fees)	£25K
Design fees	£50K
Total cost	£350K

7 Conclusion and Recommendations

- 7.1 The foregoing sections provide a brief insight into the huge amount of work that has been carried out over the years in seeking an improvement to the substandard section of Newham Road between the Gas Hill and Lighterage Hill junctions. The overriding reason why the road remains unimproved is the difficulty in overcoming the constraints imposed by the ecologically and historically significant assets on both sides of the road.
- 7.2 The following table summarises some key risks associated with each project:

Option	Risk	Mitigation
Option 14: Road re-alignment plus new cantilever pathway parallel to Newham Road	Necessary land not obtained	Use landscaping to soften impact on property where possible
	Potential conflict with underground utilities	Thorough investigation in advance, inc. trial holes
	Planning permission refused	Engage with key consultees, carry out habitat surveys etc, produce Environmental Statement, pay attention to the aesthetic design of the structure
	Studies necessary for consents unable to be completed within funding timeframe	Programme the studies to commence as early on as possible
	Steel mesh surface deemed unsuitable for use by pedestrians or cyclists	Negotiate with NE over a part mesh, part solid surface; Investigate alternative materials (e.g. perspex)
Option 15: Refurbish the Cornish Way and minor widening of the road	Necessary land not obtained	Use landscaping to soften impact on property where possible
	Potential conflict with underground utilities	Thorough investigation in advance, inc. trial holes

Option 16: New footway with single lane carriageway controlled by traffic signals	Necessary land not obtained	Variant to Option 16 avoids the land most likely to be difficult to obtain
	Objections to traffic signals from business community	Engaging with businesses, using the traffic modelling results to allay their concerns


- 7.3 Options 15 and 16 are both considerably less expensive than Option 14. With an estimated cost of £450,000, Option 15 offers pedestrians and cyclists an improved off-road route along the existing Cornish Way, rather than one alongside Newham Road itself. Whilst this section of the Cornish Way would benefit greatly from such an improvement, the scheme offers little benefit to any pedestrians or cyclists who choose to stay on Newham Road itself. Overall, the cost of the scheme and the disruption caused by widening and strengthening the carriageway are not justified by the limited benefits that the scheme would deliver.
- 7.4 Option 16 accommodates a footway largely within the existing highway limits by narrowing the carriageway to single lane width and using traffic signals to control traffic. By reducing to a minimum the distance between the Stop lines, only two private accesses are inside the single lane section, and drivers emerging from either of them have sight of at least one signal head.
- 7.5 At an estimated cost of £350K, Option 16 is the least expensive of the three options considered in this report. It does not require planning permission, since it falls within the definition of permitted development that the highway authority can undertake. However, it is not supported by the Newham business community.
- 7.6 At an estimated cost of £2.6 million, Option 14 would deliver the widest benefits: a continuous footway/cycleway alongside the river as far as Lighterage Quay, as well as a realigned and widened carriageway. However, the planning authority is concerned about the potentially substantial harm to the heritage, landscape character and biodiversity interests of the area. Working closely with the planning officers and their statutory consultees, particularly with regard to the design of the cantilevered structures, will help to secure a successful result at the planning consent stage.
- 7.7 Notwithstanding the risks to Option 14 that would need to be overcome before planning permission could be obtained, there is a clear preference among the local business community and with key politicians to pursue Option 14. It is an ambitious scheme which makes a bold statement about the future of the Port of Truro.

Appendix A


Scheme Drawings

© This drawing is Copyright. It should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Cornwall Council was originally commissioned. Cornwall Council accepts no responsibility for this drawing to any party other than the person(s) by whom it was commissioned.


NOTES




CHAINAGE 150.000m




CHAINAGE 100.000m




CHAINAGE 50.000m




CHAINAGE 0.000m




CHAINAGE 300.000m




CHAINAGE 250.000m



CHAINAGE 200.000m





Section A-A



Section B-B

REV	DATE	NATURE OF REVISION
-	08.06.15	FIRST ISSUE
REVISIONS		



CORMAC SOLUTIONS
 RADNOR ROAD
 SCORRIER
 CORNWALL TR16 5EH
 www.cornwall.gov.uk/cormac 01872 32313

LOCATION
NEWHAM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE TRURO

PROJECT
Newham Review and Feasibility

DRAWING TITLE
**Option 14
 Proposed 3.0 m Wide Footway
 Site Layout and Cross Sections**

PROJECT MANAGER	AJU
DRAWN BY:	AON
CHECKED:	
APPROVED:	
SCALE	1:500 horizontal 1:100 Vertical
DRAWING NO	EDG0206/F/014/001
SCALE @ A1	
REVISION	

© This drawing is Copyright. It should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Cornwall Council was originally commissioned. Cornwall Council accepts no responsibility for this drawing to any party other than the person(s) by whom it was commissioned.

NOTES

REV	DATE	NATURE OF REVISION
-	09/06/15	FIRST ISSUE

REVISIONS

CORNWALL COUNCIL
one and all
onni hag all

CORMAC SOLUTIONS
 RADNOR ROAD
 SCORRIER
 CORNWALL TR16 5EH
 www.cornwall.gov.uk/cormac 01872 323313

LOCATION
NEWHAM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE TRURO









PROJECT
Newham Review and Feasibility

DRAWING TITLE
**Option 15
 Proposed footway to old railway
 Site Layout and Cross Sections**

PROJECT MANAGER: AJU
 DRAWN BY: AON | CHECKED: | APPROVED:

SCALE
 1:500 horizontal | 1:100 Vertical

DRAWING NO: EDG0206/F/015/001
 REVISION: -





© Crown copyright and database rights 2015 Ordnance Survey 100049047.


© This drawing is Copyright. It should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Cornwall Council accepts no responsibility for this drawing to any party other than the person(s) by whom it was commissioned.

NOTES:

1. All dimensions are in metres unless otherwise stated.
2. Do not scale from this drawing.



REV	DATE	NATURE OF REVISION
-	05/06/15	FIRST ISSUE



CORMAC SOLUTIONS
 RADNOR ROAD
 SCORRIER
 CORNWALL TR16 5EH
 www.cornwall.gov.uk/cormac 01872 323313

PROJECT:
NEWHAM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE TRURO

DRAWING TITLE:
Option 16 Traffic Signals

SCALE:
 1:500 @ A1

PROJECT MANAGER: AJU	DRAWN BY: AON
CHECKED: AJU 04/06/15	APPROVED: NSH 04/06/15
DRAWING NO: EDG0206_F_016_001	REVISION: -

Appendix B

Photographs



Figure 1
Traffic conflict at the Lighterage Hill/Newham Road junction. Position of parked vehicle contributing to the conflict. (Photograph supplied by the Newham BID committee).



Figure 2
Typical manoeuvre of HGV at the Lighterage Hill/Newham Road junction. (Photograph supplied by the Newham BID committee). Note that access would need to be maintained during any construction in this area. Possibly a need to remove the footpath during construction to gain working space.



Figure 3
Looking South towards the industrial estate showing traffic conflict at the pinch point outside the listed property, Little Newham, with Truro River on the left. (Photograph supplied by the Newham BID committee).



Figure 4
Looking North in the direction of Tescos, showing traffic conflict at the pinch point outside the listed property, Little Newham, on the left, with Truro River on the right. (Photograph supplied by the Newham BID committee).



Figure 5
Looking North in the direction of Tesco's, showing an articulated lorry at the pinch point outside the listed property, Little Newham, on the left, with Truro River on the right. (Photograph supplied by the Newham BID committee).



Figure 6
Looking North in the direction of Tesco's, showing the same articulated lorry at the pinch point outside the listed property, Little Newham, on the left, with Truro River on the right. (Photograph supplied by the Newham BID committee).



Figure 7
Looking down Lighterage Hill towards Truro River. Note the Cornish Way accesses on the right before the white building and on the left by the grey pole.

Appendix C

Site Investigation

**Summary based on previous site investigations - site specific SI
not commissioned at this stage**

Report 60199-I – Site Investigation for the Improvement of Newham Road, Truro. Stage 1 (approximately 180m and 230m to the south of the proposed scheme)

The investigation comprised two percussive boreholes (BH10 and BH11) to refusal depths of 2.5m and 2.8m. The boreholes were drilled through the highway approximately 180m and 230m to the south of the proposed scheme. The logs recorded pavement construction overlying sand and residual soils. Weathered slate bedrock was recorded at 1.9m and 2.2m bgl respectively. Alluvial deposits were not recorded. The locations and a summary of these holes are shown in the map below.

Groundwater was recorded at 1.45m bgl in BH10 and 0.5m bgl in BH11 which required boreholes to be cased to prevent water ingress and collapse.

Chemical analysis of samples was not scheduled.

Report 60199-II – Site Investigation for the Improvement of Newham Road, Truro. Stage 2 (more than 700m to the north of the proposed scheme)

The investigation comprised 11 percussive and percussive rotary boreholes in the vicinity of the Newham Road and Morlaix Avenue roundabout. The investigation was located more than 700m to the north of the proposed widening scheme and therefore the information is of extremely limited relevance. The logs record made ground overlying soft clay and silt (alluvial deposits) to a maximum depth of 4.5m overlying weathered siltstone, mudstone and slate. Shallow groundwater was recorded at all locations between 0.1m bgl and 2.5m bgl. Chemical analysis of water recorded elevated concentrations of chloride and sulphate.

Summary of the review

The investigations indicated that alluvial deposits are likely to be present below the road in the area of the proposed scheme, and that groundwater is likely to be shallow. It is possible that groundwater is at least partially affected by tides, although no groundwater monitoring was undertaken as part of the previous schemes.

No chemical testing was conducted, but it is likely that if organic rich soft clays are encountered, then these deposits may require disposal as hazardous waste. There is also potential of contamination from the nearby scrapyards, from Tributyl-tin (TBT) used for maintenance of boats and from other historical sources (a gas works was located 700m to the north of the proposed site).

It should be possible to excavate soils with standard plant. However, open excavations would require support due to shallow groundwater. Over pumping may also be required during construction.

Any concrete should be specified to a class suitable for a marine environment.

Services (including, gas, electricity and water/drainage) are known to be located along the highway and are likely to be at shallow depth given the shallow depth to groundwater.


The current highway is narrow and may pose difficulties for large plant movements and for traffic management during a site investigation, but it should be achievable.

Appendix D


Traffic and Pedestrian Count April 2015


Newham Road, Truro - Traffic Count Results - Tuesday 21st April 2015 (07:00-

U6069 Truro



Newham Rd/Gas Hill jct, Truro - Pedestrian Movements 07:00 to 19:00 - Tuesday 21st April 2015





Appendix E

Option 16 Transport Modelling

E.1 As part of the Newham Road feasibility study transport modelling has been undertaken for a signalised shuttle system. A base model was created in VISSIM for the year 2015 using ATC and MCTC data. A model has then been created to test the signalised shuttle system.

E.2 The test focused on turning movements at the Lighterage Hill junction. Therefore:

- Newham Road N means the road north of the junction as far as Gas Hill
- Newham Road S means a nominal length of the road south of the junction

E.3 From this test we have found that there is a minimal increase in journey times. The table below expresses the journey time increases in seconds:


North to South							
Newham Rd N - Newham Rd S				Newham RD N - Lighterage Hill			
Peak Hour	2015 Base	2015 DS1	Increase	Peak Hour	2015 Base	2015 DS1	Increase
0800 - 0900	31.5	43.9	12.4	0800 - 0900	35.0	47.8	12.7
1600 - 1700	30.2	44.7	14.5	1600 - 1700	34.6	49.1	14.5

South to North							
Newham Rd S - Newham Rd N				Lighterage Hill - Newham Rd N			
Peak Hour	2015 Base	2015 DS1	Increase	Peak Hour	2015 Base	2015 DS1	Increase
0800 - 0900	30.8	46.2	15.4	0800 - 0900	33.7	49.4	15.7
1600 - 1700	31.2	43.5	12.4	1600 - 1700	33.8	47.4	13.6

E.4 For both directions of travel, the increase in journey times was in the range of 12 to 16 seconds.

E.5 The maximum queue length (excluding extraordinary conditions such as a broken down vehicle) was modelled to be 6 vehicles or 33m. This is well within the 80m distance between the Lighterage Hill junction and the Stop Line (see diagram below).

E.6 It can be considered, therefore, that this shuttle system will operate satisfactorily under the current traffic conditions.



Screenshot of VISSIM modelling output (Newham Road N is on the left, with Lighterage Hill on the right)

E.7 Notes:

- The traffic modelling has not been calibrated or validated. Nevertheless, it is based on recent source data, and is a reasonable prediction of the effect of the signals on the local network. For an even more reliable test, a full-scale simulation could be carried out using temporary traffic signals on site.
- The journey times are **average** increases. Depending on the stage in the cycle at the moment a vehicle arrives on a particular occasion, the actual time could be longer or shorter.
- The modelling was based on the following assumed cycle:
 - Inter-green – 15 seconds
 - Green southbound – 7 to 15 seconds
 - Inter-green - 15 seconds
 - Green northbound – 7 to 15 seconds
- The modelling is based on the worse scenario of the 110m distance between signals. This is a worse scenario for several reasons:
 - The inter-green time between alternate directions of travel needs to be longer
 - The secondary signal head cannot be seen from the access to Riverside Cottage. There will need to be an extra phase, therefore, but only on demand.

- The Stop line for northbound traffic is brought closer to the Lighterage Hill junction.
- When the road is empty, the lights would sit on red, changing to green for the next approaching vehicle. Vehicles, therefore, will often not need to stop because the lights will have detected their approach and changed to green in time. Similar shuttle systems exist elsewhere, such as the railway bridge at Victoria, Roche.
- Using a combination of the Automatic Traffic Count (ATC) and Manually Classified Turning Count (MCTC) data, it was possible to refine the data from half-hourly increments to 5-minute increments. Therefore, variations within the hour could be identified, such as the surge in outbound traffic just after 5pm.
- Modern signals technology allows the timing to be fine-tuned according to the demand from each direction. Even when there is a strong tidal variation between inbound and outbound flows in the morning and evening, therefore, the signal timings maintain optimum efficiency.

Appendix F

Pre-Application Planning Advice

Cormac Solutions Ltd - Taryn Causton
Western Group Centre
Radnor Road
Scorrier
Redruth
TR16 5EH

Your ref:

My ref:

Date:

PA15/00124/PREAPP
25 March 2015

Dear Sir/Madam

**Pre-application
enquiry reference**

PA15/00124/PREAPP

Proposal

Pre-application advice for better road and pedestrian access

Location

Newham Industrial Estate Newham Road Newham Truro

Applicant

Ms Vicky Fraser

I refer to your enquiry received on 15 January 2015 concerning the above and would inform you that this letter is written on the basis of the information supplied with your enquiry and the submitted drawings.

Site, context and existing Land Use:

This site comprises a roughly 350 metre length of Newham Road, Truro running parallel to Truro River and ending at its southern extent adjoining the junction with Lighterage Hill. The existing carriageway, which has no defined separate footway and has notable width restrictions, abuts the bank edge of Truro River along its southern section from a point opposite Little Newham to the bottom of Lighterage Hill.

Proposal:

This pre-application proposal focuses on the investigation of feasibility options to improve the alignment of Newham Road and to provide better access for pedestrians and cyclists. Particular key concerns have been identified as:

- o The physical pinch point outside Little Newham (grade II listed) where visibility is poor and HGV's have difficulty passing;
- o This bend has not be improved in the past because of the sensitive context of the listed building and curtilage to the south west and the Truro River Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) to the east;
- o The issue of land take for the highway improvement scheme with loss of a section of high hedge;
- o Installation of traffic lights to control the safe movement of traffic (but would restrict access to residential properties);
- o Increase in carriageway width with provision of footway utilising three sections of a metal cantilevered path extension out over the mudflats plus new sections of footway over sections of intervening verge;

Planning and Enterprise Service
Cornwall Council

Circuit House Pydar Street Truro Cornwall TR1 1EB
planning@cornwall.gov.uk

25 March 2015

o Plans provided at this initial stage: EDG0206/F/2700/001- Site location; 002 & 003- Proposed 3.0m wide footway layout and sections.

Constraints:

Setting of Grade II statutory Listed Building - Little Newham
Area of Great Scientific Value

Critical drainage area - Truro-Kenwyn, Allen and Tregolls
Flood Zones 2 and 3 (Fluvial and Tidal)

Hazardous Substances installation context: (Transco site- HSE Reference H1597OZ, Calor Gas - HSE reference H1012MZ, H1012OZ, H1012IZ, Lighterage Quay- HSE reference H4101MZ, H4101OZ.)

Truro City Council administrative area

Potentially contaminated land - linked to proximity to Calor Gas site.

Adjoins Site of Special Scientific Interest - Malpas Estuary SSSI

Fal and Helford Special Area of Conservation

River bank buffer zone

Tree Preservation Orders:

- C1/CK295 - Kernow House, Malpas area based Order
- C1/CK445 - Riverside, Truro - 2 groups and 2 individual trees

Relevant policies and guidance:

Under section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 decisions on applications for planning permission and appeals must be taken in accordance with the development plan, unless there are material considerations that indicate otherwise.

The National Planning Policy Framework stresses the importance of having a planning system that is genuinely plan-led. Where a proposal accords with an up-to-date development plan it should be approved without delay, as required by the presumption in favour of sustainable development at paragraph 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework. Where the development plan is absent, silent or the relevant policies are out of date, paragraph 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework requires the application to be determined in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development unless otherwise specified.

In Cornwall the development plan comprises the 'saved' policies from the adopted Local Plans, the Balancing Housing Markets DPD in the former Carrick area and those development plan documents that deal specifically with minerals and waste. Cornwall Council is able to demonstrate a five year supply of housing land when assessed against the requirement of 47,500 homes as set out in the proposed submission Local Plan and based on an objective assessment of need undertaken for the Strategic Housing Market Needs Assessment. Until our assessment is tested at an examination cogent arguments that are also untested will be promoted by others that support the same or a different conclusion on the 5 year land supply position in Cornwall. Therefore, in the absence of a fully tested objective assessment of housing need, it is not currently possible to conclude whether or not there is a five year supply and therefore in terms of paragraph 49 of the NPPF the relevant policies for the supply of housing in the saved local plans are not considered to be up to date.

25 March 2015

The policies in the emerging Cornwall Local Plan are not part of the development plan and have limited weight because of the early stage that the Local Plan has reached in the adoption process but the policy and explanatory text does give a clear indication of the Council's direction of travel. This Local Plan has been developed from an up to date evidence base. Cornwall Council (14/1/14) resolved to amend the draft local plan and carry out a further period of consultation on a proposed submission version before submitting the Plan to the Secretary of State (SoS) for Examination. The substantive change (resolution 1a) is that the overall housing number be agreed at 47,500 with the associated distribution being as previously agreed by Cabinet. A number of other changes to text and policy wording were also approved. This further consultation took place during March and April for 6 weeks and was reported to members during July 2014. As a result of the representations received the Portfolio Holder for Environment Heritage and Planning authorised focused changes to the Cornwall Local Plan - Proposed Submission - March 2014 which were the subject of a further period of consultation ending in mid - October.

Following the period of consultation on the Schedule of Focused Changes- September 2014, the Cabinet on 19 November 2014 recommended to Council that the Cornwall Local Plan, consisting of the Cornwall Local Plan: Strategic Policies Proposed Submission Document 2010-2030 (March 2014) and the Proposed Schedule of Focused Changes be submitted to the Secretary of State for examination. This decision was taken by the Council on 16 December 2014. The Cornwall Local Plan has been submitted in early 2015 and the examination is likely to take place later in Spring 2015.

National Planning Policy Framework, 2012 (sections):

Para. 7 Achieving sustainable development - three dimensions

Para. 9 Pursuing sustainable development

Para. 14 Presumption in favour of sustainable development

Paragraph 17 Core planning principles for sustainable development.

4: Promoting sustainable transport

7: Requiring good design

8: Promoting healthy communities

10: Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

11: Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

12: Conserving and enhancing the historic environment

National Planning Practice Guidance 2014

Cornwall Local Plan Strategic Policies 2010 - 2030 (Proposed submission document March 2014):

Policy 1: Presumption in favour of sustainable development

Policy 2: Key targets and spatial strategy

Policy 13: Design

Policy 14: Development Standards

Policy 17: Health and wellbeing

Policy 22: Best use of land and existing buildings

Policy 23: Natural environment

25 March 2015

Policy 24: Historic Environment

Policy 25: Green infrastructure

Policy 26: Flood risk management and coastal change

Policy 27: Transport and accessibility

Policy 28: Infrastructure

Policy PP6: Truro and Roseland Community Network Area - section 2.

Carrick District Wide Local Plan 1998 (saved Policies):

Saved Policy 3F: Trees/hedges and development

Saved Policy 3H: Safeguarding of the AGSV

Saved Policy 3HH: Avoid harm to the integrity of wildlife corridors

Saved Policy 3J: Avoid damage to locally important habitats

Saved Policy 4D: Development to respect the setting of listed buildings

Saved Policy 8G: Port and water related commercial development

Saved Policy 10R: Water based recreational uses in the Truro River basin

Saved Policy 10S: Safeguarding public access around perimeter of the Truro River basin

Saved Policy 13I: Development and flood risk

Other material guidance:

Cornwall Design Guide 2013

Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning and the Historic Environment Practice Guide 2010

The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011

Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (inc. Habitat Regulations Assessment of Natura 2000 sites).

Principle of the works:

The scheme has been reviewed in the office in the context of the site planning history, the policy regime and other guidance and a subsequent site inspection was undertaken following our telephone conversation of the 24th February 2015. This response has been collated following our recent conversation and the receipt of initial feedback from such bodies as the Environment Agency, the Council's Forestry Officer and the Development Management Highways Officer.

This stretch of Newham Road has historically been one where the need for highway improvement works to improve general highway safety, commercial site accessibility, pedestrian and cyclist access and overall public safety has been accepted in principle as enshrined in the Carrick District Wide Local Plan 1998. There have been previous approaches and consideration of potential schemes but these have failed to progress - it is assumed on the basis of the failure to fully address the significant sensitive constraints imposed by the significant natural and historic environment and formal designations in this area. It is reassuring that a number of alternative options are currently being considered - to include more basic traffic management schemes such as the introduction of specific control measures such as traffic lights. As potential scheme options evolve it will be important that the following constraints, opportunities and policy requirements are fully addressed and communications are maintained with the key bodies and organisations.

25 March 2015

Heritage Asset Area Context:

The site lies within a particularly sensitive and visually prominent context with reference to the setting of Little Newham and its historic curtilage located immediately to the south west of the existing carriageway. The distinctive building, which has seventeenth century origins with a significant remodelling in the nineteenth century, has a frontage softened and visually enclosed and contained by existing hedge planting in combination with sections of low brick walling and fencing. Opposite the frontage the riverside edge is marked and contained by a sinuous grassed verge and landscape structure in the form of shrubs and low trees lining the bank to the Truro River estuary. The historic building represents an important historic residential survival that is nestled into the sylvan landscape setting which screens the site from the adjoining extensive large scale commercial sites, businesses and buildings. The building outlook and setting is an important survival and vestige of this previously far more isolated location overlooking the river. The existing verge planting and frontage enclosures are key elements of the buildings setting, location and relationship to the estuarine context.

This building is a grade II listed heritage asset and therefore the proposals must have regard for the significance of the building and its features and setting and assess the impact of the proposals on the building and its setting. Great weight should be given to the asset's conservation. (NPPF Paragraph 132.) Furthermore, Section 66 (1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 sets out our statutory duty in the exercise of planning functions for development which affects a listed building or its setting and states 'shall have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historical interest which it possesses'.

The NPPF requires applicants to provide sufficient assessment of the significance of any heritage assets affected by development including any contribution made by their setting. In the NPPF at paragraph 132 it is stated that when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation. Significance can be harmed through alteration or development within its setting and any harm or loss should require clear and convincing justification. Where development would lead to less than substantial harm, as may be likely in the case of this feasibility proposal, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal.

In this instance the scheme incorporating the cantilevered footway elements plus the realigned carriageway would appear to require the removal and realignment of the frontage boundary treatment, including the landscape planting and physical wall structures. This, in combination with the complete removal of the grassed verge and all verdant planting opposite the historic site, would result in a significant and substantial degree of harm to the setting of the heritage asset, which is a serious concern. It would be important that should any scheme approach be progressed further a detailed heritage asset assessment should be undertaken, as required by paragraph 128 of the NPPF so as to allow a thorough understanding of the existing form and layout on site and the relationship to the estuary and wider context. Whilst it may be possible to reinstate an appropriate and meaningful form of site frontage

25 March 2015

enclosure for the listed building, the suggested cantilevered form would leave the site completely open to the road and river with limited intimacy or screening.

Paragraph 133 stresses that where a proposal would lead to substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, local planning authorities should refuse consent, unless it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss. The site assessment should therefore clearly review all options considered for the section of highway and why the submitted approach is considered to be the preferred option over other solutions (such as the traffic light scheme) which would be visually far less intrusive and erosive.

Overall, it will be essential that the development at least preserves and preferably enhances the special character of this section of the setting to the designated heritage asset and any formal application submission will need to include a heritage asset impact assessment to review the significance of the identified heritage assets and the anticipated impact of the scheme in accordance with saved Local Plan Policy 4F and paragraphs 131 and 128 of the NPPF. This would also accord with the aims and intentions of Policy 24 of the Cornwall Local Plan Strategic Policies submission document.

Design and Form:

This section of Newham Road has a distinctive and almost semi-rural character through the predominance of landscape structure and trees to the rising ground to the west in combination with the repeated glimpses and wider views out to the estuary to the east. The landscape structure has a clear softening impact which ensures that it dominates over the built forms when moving along Newham Road and from the multiple public vantage points along the opposite side of the estuary on Malpas Road and at Boscawen Park. The introduction of the three significant stretches of cantilevered footway out over the low river bank may have a sleek almost minimalist design but there is concern that the loss of the existing stretches of enclosing liner verge planting and the introduction of inevitable hard edged safety rails and balustrading would seriously erode the landscape character of the locality.

Section 7 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012 para 58 stresses the need for development to respond to the local character and the existing pattern of established development. At para 64 it is advised that permission should be refused for development that fails to take the opportunity for improving the character and quality of an area.

Whilst paragraphs 17 and 58 of the NPPF stress the need to create visually attractive developments through good design, paragraph 60 is also acknowledged in that local planning authorities should not attempt to impose architectural styles particular tastes. Any new structures should positively address the road and river frontages and the local plan reinforces the need to respect the distinctive character of the area with particular regard to traditional building/structure design style, scale, local features, finishes and colour and degree of prominence. Advice within the adopted Cornwall Design Guide 2013 would also need to be satisfied should a scheme be progressed towards formal application submission.

25 March 2015

With reference to the frontage layout and alterations to the existing stretches of boundary walling, such as at Little Newham, a key consideration would be to retain a high degree of enclosure to the sites whilst providing the improvements to visibility and safety at the access with Newham Road as well as along the carriageway. The height of existing walling, in making a strong contribution to the character of the street scene, should not be substantially altered and any realignment of the walling should not result in an excessive set back or an excessively deep green verge onto the road. The frontage walling and planting should be aligned to provide continuity with existing boundary forms to either side of the sites so as to maintain the important degree of continuity in the street scene. The reconfiguration or increase in the access opening width should not be excessive so as to maintain essential screening to the parking and turning within the site forecourt areas.

Ecology and Biodiversity Impact:

As confirmed earlier in this response, the site lies in a particularly sensitive context in respect of biodiversity and very close proximity to designated sites of international importance. As stressed by the Environment Agency in an initial response, it is imperative that the principles set out in the NPPF with regards to flood resilience and the protection from, and avoidance of, adverse effects on the important areas of national and international conservation status be at the forefront of considerations when developing a scheme. Proposals for development on or within close proximity to inter-tidal habitats or internationally designated areas should also need to consider screening under The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulation 2011. This would need to be undertaken once a scheme has been firmed up and designed for formal submission to the Council.

A detailed ecological survey and assessment would need to be undertaken by a recognised professional so as to inform any design approach to be taken and also to identify the range of mitigation measures that would need to be integrated into any proposal in respect of habitat intervention and potential loss and disturbance. In addition to this, in order for a Habitat Regulations Assessment to be undertaken by the local planning authority, as the competent authority, as required by the European Directive, it will be necessary for a detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) to be collated and provided so as to assist in establishing whether the final scheme would have a significant effect on the European site(s).

At this initial stage, and without feedback from Natural England, the key issues appear to be the erosion and loss of significant stretches of river bank buffer verges and associated planting as important green buffer corridors, the level of intervention and ultimate shading with the construction of the oversailing cantilevered footways, implications for surface water drainage with loss of the permeable bank verge sections and the increase in tarmac surfacing as well as the implications for the construction process itself.

It is also noted that the Environment Agency advises that as the scheme would be within 7 metres of a main river it will be necessary to obtain a consent under the Water Resources Act 1991.

25 March 2015

Other issues and material considerations:

Landscape and Trees Impact:

This stretch of Newham Road has a significant sylvan context with several trees appearing to be within the development corridor itself. There are nearby trees that are the subject of a tree preservation order and have protected status. Although the remainder are not afforded this degree of protection they are nevertheless visually prominent and important in terms of character and local public amenity. The loss of existing trees and landscape structure would have a significant and detrimental impact upon the riparian scene. Consequently, the Council's Forestry Officer advises that any road improvement scheme should consider all existing trees and the constraints they pose and the development scheme should be in accordance with the aims and intentions of BS5837 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations, and a full appraisal of the tree issues should be submitted with the final application scheme. Trees are a material planning consideration on any site and the presumption is in favour of retaining important trees within a development. Trees and the constraints they pose should inform the layout design.

Highway Issues:

The highway benefits derived from the scheme focus on significant safety concerns and the current issue of conflicts at the existing pinch point and limited segregation of vehicular and pedestrian users. The Council's Development Management Highway Officer has been consulted on the main option submitted with the proposed cantilevered footways. The principle is supported in highway terms in providing better access for pedestrians and cyclists. The key advice is that the proposal should be discussed with the Area Highway Manager and Highway Structures Team for their input on any scheme as it evolves.

Residential Amenity Issues:

In formulating the form, scale, design and layout of any highway improvement and reconfiguration proposal for this stretch of Newham Road a key consideration should be how the scheme would relate to its immediate neighbours - particularly the adjacent dwellings at Little Newham and River View Cottage to the west. Such issues as boundary enclosure, visual screening, noise attenuation and access and security for the adjoining private garden amenity areas would be of importance.

Whilst loss of a private view is not specifically a key planning consideration, any development should aim to avoid domination, a significant curtailment or serious erosion of an established principle outlook for the neighbouring residential properties. Paragraphs 17 bullet 4 and 59 of the NPPF stress that schemes should always seek to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings. This is reinforced in emerging policy 13 (Design) of the Cornwall Local Plan Strategic Policies 2010-2030 proposed submission document

25 March 2015

2014 which considers that proposals should protect individuals and property from overlooking and loss of privacy.

Any final submitted site layout and landscaping proposals should include full specifications for the boundary treatments, planting and general enclosure for the stretch of highway being reconfigured.

Flood Risk and Drainage:

The wider site constraints confirm that with this stretch of Newham Road lying within Flood Zones 2 and 3 there are serious implications of flood risk to the highway itself as well as the adjoining residential and commercial premises with the inevitable adjustments to existing ground levels. The physical interventions that would occur could also have implications for the existing flooding capacity of this low lying land and associated properties running alongside the river.

It will be necessary for any proposed road improvement scheme to be accompanied by a detailed Flood Risk Assessment to fully review this significant constraint and to identify key mitigation measures deemed appropriate. This would be reviewed by the Environment Agency in due course.

The issue of surface water drainage for the reconfigured highway would need careful handling in terms of impact on the adjacent sensitive nature conservation sites with threats of pollution during both the construction phase and thereafter with use of the highway by traffic etc. This issue of impact on the water environment would need to be addressed in a drainage strategy submission as well as the essential CEMP report and identified mitigation measures.

Further guidance on flooding and development can be obtained from the Environment Agency web site www.environment-agency.gov.uk .

Contaminated land:

Section 11 of the NPPF provides guidance on conserving and enhancing the natural environment, and makes a number of statements, in connection with land contamination, the key paragraphs being as follows:

Paragraph 120. To prevent unacceptable risks from pollution and land instability, planning policies and decisions should ensure that new development is appropriate for its location. The effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, the natural environment or general amenity, and the potential sensitivity of the area or proposed development to adverse effects from pollution, should be taken into account. Where a site is affected by contamination or land stability issues, responsibility for securing a safe development rests with the developer and/or landowner.

Paragraph 121. Planning policies and decisions should also ensure that:
o the site is suitable for its new use taking account of ground conditions and land instability, including from natural hazards or former activities such as mining,

25 March 2015

pollution arising from previous uses and any proposals for mitigation including land remediation or impacts on the natural environment arising from that remediation;

o after remediation, as a minimum, land should not be capable of being determined as contaminated land under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990; and

o adequate site investigation information, prepared by a competent person, is presented.

In light of the proximity of the highway to the nearby gas storage site, industrial uses and the river channel it is considered that a Phase 1 Site Investigation is undertaken in accordance with the Council's guidance contained in the "Guide to considering contaminated land in the planning process 3 March 2015 - Version 0.04" which can be accessed via the Council's web site. This important investigative document would also be particularly valuable as providing part of the evidence base for the CEMP.

Summary:

Having reviewed the main scheme option for this highway improvement, it is considered that the works incorporating the introduction of the multiple stretches of cantilevered footway and erosion of the existing river bank verge and landscape structure would be harmful to the established setting of the listed heritage asset and its curtilage, the landscape character of this green corridor running alongside the Truro River and the significant biodiversity value of the Natura 2000 nature conservation sites in this highly designated context. It is acknowledged that the scheme would deliver identified public benefits in terms of highway safety for vehicles and pedestrian users whilst also facilitating potential future investment and development of existing commercial sites beyond the existing highway restriction. However, at this initial stage, it is not considered that these public benefits would outweigh the substantial level of harm that would result for the heritage, landscape character and biodiversity interests in this sensitive highly designated context. At this stage it will be important that all other potentially less intrusive options for improving the highway are assessed and fully considered as viable alternatives to achieve the basic aims of the scheme whilst safeguarding the recognised issues of importance.

Should you wish to progress a scheme for this site it will be essential that the identified key areas of concern are fully investigated and assessed so as to inform the final form of development. The specific assessments and reports identified above will be required as part of any future formal planning application submission to confirm that any such scheme has been informed by and responds positively to this very sensitive context and specific identified constraints.

Works to demolish and realign the existing curtilage boundary wall/enclosure to the listed building will also require formal listed building consent.

Consultees:

The following would be consulted in respect of any formal planning application submission:

25 March 2015

- o Truro City Council
- o Local residents
- o Electoral Division Member
- o Cornwall Council Highways Development Management Officer
- o Council's Environmental Protection Officer
- o Council's Forestry Officer
- o Council's Conservation Officer
- o Natural England
- o The Environment Agency
- o South West Water

Community Consultation:

The majority of local councils (parish, town and city councils) welcome pre-application planning discussions and we strongly encourage you to consult the local council and seek their views prior to submitting any formal application. I would also encourage you to consult with the appropriate Electoral Division Member. I would always recommend that you consult with Truro City Council on 01872 274766 or info@truro.gov.uk and with the local Electoral Division Member for the Truro Redannick Ward, currently Rob Nolan CC, on 07813 755210 and rnolan@cornwall.gov.uk .

I hope that you find the above advice helpful.

Please note that this is the final response and that any additional advice would be chargeable.

You should note that this letter does not constitute a formal decision by the Council (as local planning authority). It is only an officer's opinion given in good faith, and without prejudice to the formal consideration of any planning application. However, the advice note issues will be considered by the Council as a material consideration in the determination of future planning related applications, subject to the proviso that circumstances and information may change or come to light that could alter the position. It should be noted that the weight given to pre-application advice notes will decline over time.

Yours faithfully

Martin Woodley

**Senior Development Officer
Planning and Enterprise Service
Tel: 01872 224688
Email: planning@cornwall.gov.uk**

Page 12

25 March 2015

Engineering Design Group
FAO Alistair Uglow
CORMAC Consultancy
Western Group Centre
Radnor Road
Scorrier
Redruth
Cornwall
TR16 5EH

Your ref:
My ref: PA15/02914/PREAPP
Date: 8 October 2015

Dear Sir/Madam

'Do I need' planning permission or building regulation consent enquiry

Reference Number PA15/02914/PREAPP
Proposal Do I need enquiry for road improvements (construction of new footway, minor widening works and traffic signals)
Location Newham Road Newham Truro Cornwall

I refer to your enquiry received on 23 September 2015 concerning the above and would inform you that this letter is written on the basis of the information supplied with your enquiry and the submitted drawings.

The application site is viewed as highly sensitive site due to its proximity to a Site of Special Scientific Interest and a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) which is a protected site under the EC Habitats Directive.

Additionally it is noted that much of the application site area falls within an area designated as Flood Zone 3, which is in a category which is at the highest risk and likelihood of flooding.

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 enables certain building projects /development to be undertaken through rights which fall within the tolerances of Permitted Development, as set out in that Order.

Class A, Part 9 (Development Relating to Roads) of Schedule 2 of the above Order allows certain works to be carried out by a Highway Authority:

- (a) On land within the boundaries of a road, of any works required for the maintenance or improvement of the road, where such works involve development by virtue of section 55(2)(b)(g) of the Act; or
- (b) On land outside but adjoining the boundary of an existing highway of works required for or incidental to the maintenance or improvement of a highway

I consider the works, described in the Do I Need Planning enquiry as the construction of a new footway along the carriageway, falling principally within the physical constraints of the corridor and involving minor widening and narrowing of the carriageway to a single

Planning and Enterprise Service
Cornwall Council
Circuit House Pydar Street Truro Cornwall TR1 1EB
planning@cornwall.gov.uk

Page 2

Engineering Design Group

8 October 2015

track in short sections, along with the installation of traffic signals, would comply with Permitted Development Rights and there is no need to submit a planning application as long as the works involve development by virtue of section 55(2)(b)(g) of the Act.

Whilst this response relates solely to matters controlled through the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended, in terms of other relevant legislation and constraints which may apply given the sensitivity of the site I would advise that although it is my opinion that the works would be undertaken outside of the protected status of the SAC and are not a relevant consideration or limitation in the GPDO in determining if PD rights apply, you may wish to discuss your proposal with Natural England.

Given the siting within Flood Zone 3 I would also advise you to discuss your proposal with the Environment Agency to ascertain if separate consents/permits would be required.

You should note that this letter does not constitute a formal Certificate of Lawfulness of a proposed development in accordance with Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended). It is only an officer's opinion that is based upon the information available and is given without prejudice to any formal decision of the Council (as local planning authority). Should you require a formal determination of lawfulness you may apply on forms available from this office but a fee will be payable.

It is also not a formal Building Regulation Exemption Certificate.

Yours faithfully

Hilary Gooch
Sustainable Development Officer
Planning and Enterprise Service
Tel: 01208 265696
Email: planning@cornwall.gov.uk



Appendix G

Original Concept Drawing by CSA Architects

• This drawing is copyright and may not be altered, traced, copied, photographed or used for any purpose other than that for which it is issued without written permission from csa-architects.
 • Contractors are not to scale from this drawing, but to check all dimensions on site prior to the commencement of work.
 • Any discrepancies should be reported to the Architect.
 • All details shown on this drawing are based upon typical site conditions related to the area. No responsibility can be accepted for abnormal conditions unless reported to the Architects, so that design amendments may be considered.

NOTES / REVISIONS			
REV	DATE	NOTES	BY
-	-	-	-

ORIGINAL DRAWING SIZE : A1



08 GROUND FLOOR PLAN
 00-00 SCALE 1:100

csa architects
 chartered architects

 Heron Way
 Newham
 Truro
 Cornwall Tel 01872 265 310
 TR1 2XN Fax 08453 707 113
 E-mail info@csa-architects.co.uk
 Web www.csa-architects.co.uk

Client
NIP
 Job Description / Location
NEWHAM

Drawing Title
RIVERSIDE IMPROVEMENTS

Date	Scale	Drawn By	Checked
00-00-05	1:500	ABC	
Job. No.	Drawing No.	Revision	
2329	SK-1	-	